

**From:** Mindy Nguyen <Mindy.Nguyen@lacity.org>  
**Sent time:** 01/03/2019 04:21:28 PM  
**To:** Administration Gabrieleno Indians <admin@gabrielenoindians.org>; Matthew Teutimez <matt.teutimez@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** William Lamborn <william.lamborn@lacity.org>; Nuri Cho <nuri.cho@lacity.org>; Sirinopwongsagon, May <may.sirinopwongsagon@lacity.org>  
**Subject:** Re: AB 52 Consultation Follow Up for 1750 North Vine Street

Per our email on December 6, 2018, we had requested that you provide substantial evidence in writing regarding the information provided during our consultation on Wednesday, December 5, 2018 within 14 days of that communication. To date, we have not received this evidence; however, we are happy to extend the deadline by another 14 days from today's date, or until Thursday, January 17, 2019 before formally closing consultation per AB 52.

Substantial Evidence can come in the form of the following:

- Recorded maps demonstrating actual resource found within .5 mile of the project site
- What is the tribal cultural resource identified in the area
- Evidence of human remains and artifacts in the projects surrounding area
- Evidence of sacred land designated for trading routes
- Need factual written language in lieu of verbal knowledge

Information Provided during December 5, 2018 Consultation	Substantial Evidence Required
Two existing trade routes, which are considered cultural resources, overlap the Project Site.	Provide substantial evidence in writing that the trade routes relative to the Project Site is listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historic Resources, or in a local register of historic resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k) OR that this resource was determined by the Lead Agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1.

Feel free to contact me in the meantime should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Thank you,

On Thu, Dec 6, 2018 at 9:47 AM Mindy Nguyen <[Mindy.Nguyen@lacity.org](mailto:Mindy.Nguyen@lacity.org)> wrote:

This email is to confirm that on Wednesday, December 5, 2018 at 1:00 PM, we began the consultation required under AB 52 for 1750 North Vine Street.

The phone call was attended by Andrew Salas and Matt Teutimez of the Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians – Kizh Nation; and Mindy Nguyen, William Lamborn, May Sirinopwongsagon and Nuri Cho of the Los Angeles Department of City Planning.

CEQA requires that any substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074, caused by a proposed project be identified and mitigation measures incorporated as necessary or a statement of overriding consideration be adopted for a proposed project. A tribal cultural resource can be defined as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and is

(a) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historic resources as defined in Public Resource Code section 5020.1(k).

(b) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision(c)

of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.

To this end, the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning (Lead Agency) requests that substantial evidence be provided as listed below within 14 days of this communication, or **Thursday, December 20, 2018**, in follow up to the information that was provided during the telephone consultation.

Substantial Evidence can come in the form of the following:

- Recorded maps demonstrating actual resource found within .5 mile of the project site
- What is the tribal cultural resource identified in the area
- Evidence of human remains and artifacts in the projects surrounding area
- Evidence of sacred land designated for trading routes
- Need factual written language in lieu of verbal knowledge

Information Provided during December 5, 2018 Consultation	Substantial Evidence Required
Two existing trade routes, which are considered cultural resources, overlap the Project Site.	Provide substantial evidence in writing that the trade routes relative to the Project Site is listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historic Resources, or in a local register of historic resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k) OR that this resource was determined by the Lead Agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1.

Feel free to contact me in the meantime should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Thank you,

--



**Mindy Nguyen I Major Projects**

City of Los Angeles | Department of City Planning  
221 N Figueroa St Suite 1350 I Los Angeles CA 90012  
E: [mindy.nguyen@lacity.org](mailto:mindy.nguyen@lacity.org) I T: 213 847 3674

--



**Mindy Nguyen I Major Projects**

City of Los Angeles | Department of City Planning  
221 N Figueroa St Suite 1350 I Los Angeles CA 90012

E: [mindy.nguyen@lacity.org](mailto:mindy.nguyen@lacity.org) | T: 213 847 3674